UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,577	10/31/2003	Robert Hale Grant	233-605-USP	1563
	7590 02/04/200 M & HOLZER, LLC	EXAMINER		
1660 LINCOLN STREET			FORD, GRANT M	
SUITE 3000 DENVER, CO 80264			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2441	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/699,577	GRANT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GRANT FORD	2441		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)  accepted or b)  obj e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/I	rmal Patent Application		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-5, 7, 9, 11-14, 16, 18, and 20-31 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Knight, Rangan, and Cho as outlined below.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the ceasing the provision of at least one service in favor of allowing the second network to provide the at least one service of independent claim 2 and ceasing the implementation of the at least one service in the gateway in favor of allowing the second network to provide the at least one service must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure

Art Unit: 2441

is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In Applicant's response dated 9/25/2008, Applicant stated that the gateway of claim 2 is illustrated throughout the figures (e.g., Fig. 1-2 and 4). The Examiner notes that 37 CFR 1.83(a) requires that "The drawing in a nonprovisional application must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. However, conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be illustrated in the drawing in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation..." In the instant case, at least the features of: ceasing the provision of at least one service in favor of allowing the second network to provide the at least one service in the gateway in favor of allowing the second network to provide the at least one service, are not shown in the drawings.

Art Unit: 2441

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 2-5, 7, 11-14, 16, 20-22, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knight et al. (US 2004/0199618), hereinafter referred to as Knight, in view of Rangan et al. (US 2004/0148376), hereinafter referred to as Rangan.
- a. As per claims 2 and 11, Knight discloses a gateway comprising:
   a first port coupled to a first network and a second port coupled to a second network (Fig. 4, Para. 0029,0031);

processes implemented within the gateway for identifying at least one service provided by the first network that is not provided by the second network (Para. 0039-0040,0043,0046);

processes implemented within the gateway for implementing the at least one service on behalf of the second network (Para. 0039-0040,0043,0046); and

processes implemented within the gateway for ceasing the implementation of the at least one service in favor of allowing the second network to provide the at least one service (Para. 0039-0040,0043,0046). However, Knight fails to explicitly disclose processes implemented within the gateway for determining when the at least one service is implemented in the second network.

Rangan teaches processes implemented within the gateway for determining when the at least one service is implemented in the second network (Para.

Art Unit: 2441

0084-0088, 0100-0108, 0132-0146, 0148). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of determining when the at least one service is implemented in the second network with the prior art of Knight. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of providing for discovery across disparate networks to maintain iSCSI/Fibre Channel interconnection (Para. 0148).

- b. As per claims 3 and 12, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises a Fibre Channel network (Para. 0021, 0024-0025, 0031, 0033, 0038).
- c. As per claims 4 and 13, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises an IP network (Para. 0021, 0024-0025, 0031, 0033, 0038).
- d. As per claims 5 and 14, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises a SAN (Para. 0031).
- e. As per claims 7 and 16, Knight discloses a first port coupled to a first network and a second port coupled to a second network (Fig. 4, Para. 0029,0031);

processes implemented within the gateway for identifying at least one service provided by the first network that is not provided by the second network (Para. 0039-0040,0043,0046);

processes implemented within the gateway for implementing the at least one service on behalf of the second network (Para. 0039-0040,0043,0046). However, Knight fails to explicitly disclose wherein the at least one service provided by the first

Application/Control Number: 10/699,577

Art Unit: 2441

network comprises a discovery service and the processes implemented within the gateway comprise a discovery service implemented on behalf of the second network.

Page 6

Rangan teaches wherein the at least one service provided by the first network comprises a discovery service and the processes implemented within the gateway comprise a discovery service implemented on behalf of the second network (Para. 0100-0108, 0132-0146, 0148). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of providing discovery services on behalf of a second network with the prior art of Knight. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of allowing for interconnection between iSCSI and Fibre Channel networks where devices on a first network can discover all devices on a second network through the use of an intermediary device (Para. 0086, 0148).

- f. As per claims 20 and 26, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises a Fibre Channel network (Para. 0021, 0024-0025, 0031, 0033, 0038).
- g. As per claims 21 and 27, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises an IP network (Para. 0021, 0024-0025, 0031, 0033, 0038).
- h. As per claims 22 and 28, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises a SAN (Para. 0031).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,577

Art Unit: 2441

5. Claims 9, 18, 23-25, and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knight in view of Cho et al. (*A Method for Accommodating Storage Service in Optical Access System*), hereinafter referred to as Cho.

Page 7

a. As per claims 9 and 18, Knight discloses a first port coupled to a first network and a second port coupled to a second network (Fig. 4, Para. 0029,0031);

processes implemented within the gateway for identifying at least one service provided by the first network that is not provided by the second network (Para. 0039-0040,0043,0046);

processes implemented within the gateway for implementing the at least one service on behalf of the second network (Para. 0039-0040,0043,0046). However, Knight fails to explicitly disclose wherein the at least one service provided by the first network comprises a security service and the processes implemented within the gateway comprise a security service implemented on behalf of the second network.

Cho teaches a iSCSI-Fibre Channel interconnection gateway including a Security Processor Module for providing IPSec security processing for iSCSI data in IP network environments (Fig. 1 and 4, Page 661, Page 662 lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of IPSec processing in network interconnection gateways with the prior art of Knight. One of ordinary skill in the art would have done so for the purpose of providing proper security and authentication data associated with a connection (Page 661).

Art Unit: 2441

b. As per claims 23 and 29, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises a Fibre Channel network (Para. 0021, 0024-0025, 0031, 0033, 0038).

- c. As per claims 24 and 30, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises an IP network (Para. 0021, 0024-0025, 0031, 0033, 0038).
- d. As per claims 25 and 31, Knight additionally discloses wherein at least one of the first and second networks comprises a SAN (Para. 0031).

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GRANT FORD whose telephone number is (571)272-8630. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Mon-Thurs alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571)272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2441

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442

/G. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2441